Improvement of Hydrolysis Efficiency of Cellulose Using Ionic Liquids for Efficient Bioethanol Production

## Yuri Muto, Nanami Kanzaki, Kazuki Toida

Chemistry

CHEM022T

Aichi Prefectural Ichinomiya Senior High School, Ichinomiya-City, Aichi-Pref., Japan

Bioethanol is an eco-friendly energy resource based on glucose extracted from agricultural crops, such as corn. To avoid competition between bioethanol production and food production, we focused on harvesting cellulose from non-edible biomass such as scrap wood. Cellulose, the main component of the plant cell walls, is conventionally hydrolyzed to glucose using dilute sulfuric acid, but with low efficiency. We quantified glucose produced in the hydrolysis process by the phenol sulfuric acid method and compared different strategies for improving hydrolysis efficiency. First, we treated cellulose with 1-butyl-3-methyl imidazolium chloride ([C4mim]Cl), an ionic liquid that we synthesized, prior to hydrolysis with dilute sulfuric acid. Pretreatment yielded about 20% higher glucose than without pretreatment. Examination of the cellulose structure by X-ray diffraction showed that pretreatment with the ionic liquid converted cellulose I to cellulose II and reduced the average molecular weight, suggesting that these changes of the molecular structure result in increased glucose production efficiency. Next, we produced a solid acid catalyst, sulfonated activated carbon, to decompose the treated cellulose with the ionic liquid. In this method, the reaction temperature could be raised to 110 C, which is higher than the temperature employed for the dilute sulfuric acid method. This method showed 32% higher glucose yield than the conventional method. Further, this ionic liquid does not volatilize and can be collected for reuse. With supplemental treatments, the efficiency of glucose production could be improved, thus reducing the cost of bioethanol production from cellulose.

	human participants	potentially hazardous bio				
	vertebrate animals	microorganisms	rDNA	tissue		
2. I/we worked or used equipment in a regulated research institution or industrial setting (Form 1C):				🗶 YES		NO
<b>3.</b> This project is a continuation of previous research (Form 7):				YES	5 🗶	NO
<b>4.</b> My display board includes non-published photographs/visual depictions of humans (other than myself):					5 🗙	NO
5. This abstract describes only procedures performed by me/us, reflects my/our own independent research, and represents one year's work only:				YES	5 🗙	NO
	certify that the abstract and r lect my/our own work.	esponses to the above statement	s are correct and	X YES	>	NO
		in compliance with all federal and state d including the final clearance by the Sci	5	that all		

1. In this research project, the student directly handled, manipulated, or interacted with (check ALL that apply):